November 24, 1992

Mr. Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, MD 21702

Dear Harold:

Thanks for your letter. I sincerely hope that you and Lillian have a nice Thanksgiving weekend, and things go better. I also hope that your infection goes away.

I would like to make a few comments, but first must ask you not to jump off the handle, not to get angry, to just listen. You are very free with your criticisms of me, which of course are for my own good, though not necessarily accurate. A certain amount of what you say is true. But what I am after are facts, not opinion so much, though in some cases your opinion is very valuable. You are not infallible, nor are you the Pope.

I, on the other hand, have never denied being a bit crazy. I try to do the best I can but there are also things going on of such severe nature that at the behest of Richard Gallen, I went to the FBI last week. I must ask you not to discuss this or me with him, but I will be glad to answer your questions. If you recall, a handbill was handed out with mugs shots of JFK the day he died on his parade route and at Dealey Plaza. Just prior to the ASK conference, Robert Groden did this to me, with a terrible story. A lot of them. He did it at my press conference last May in New York, and he has been doing things like this for four years. Before that Lifton carried on a vicious and massive campaign to wipe me out for ten years. I have documented all of this.

When I find that certain people in Dallas are connected to what is happening to me, which threatens my relationship with my family and my very viability as a human being, I begin to ask questions of them.

With regard to my letter (and others) to you, you often miss the way I am doing things. I test what you know, what your opinion is, and you spend a vast amount of time attacking me and often heaping abuse on me. This does not help me get the facts I need. I know that you are not there to teach elemental things to jerks like me, but I, too, have little time.

You horrify me with your concept of how I might interview Diana Bowron. I am only interested in hopes that she can answer some of the questions in the evidence. Of course I let people talk. Don't you think my interviews are pretty successful? That is one of my greatest achievements in this life, but you are in quite a hurry to denounce my technique, which you clearly misread. perhaps you are talking about someone else?

You state that Garrison first thought the CIA killed JFK, and then that Hunt and his friends did it, and then went back to the CIA construct, after talking with Rothermel, or after having Boxley do so. Boxley at first believed Hunt did it.

I asked you in my last letter "What makes you think that Hunt and the other rich men were not involved?" You did not answer. I did not say that Hunt did it. I want

Do you read everything you read in newspapers, let alone rags like the *City Paper*? There were some callosal mistakes in that. Actually, I had a purpose behind most of what I did there. I attached that reporter (a kid, who writes very well) to me and steered that thing. I wanted to get in print that Groden lost it. Lifton made some fifteen charges against Groden and Groden never said a word. Groden is going to a lot of trouble to portray me as a criminal and insane, so now we have it in print how he loses it.

Who sent you the article and what comment was made about me? I have a right to know who my enemies are.

I'd like you to know that countless people there came up to me and thanked me for taking a stand. They write me and call me. There are large numbers of good researchers who are never heard from. Nobody is speaking for them. Just the big name S.O.B.s who monopolize everything. I can tell you that for every enemy I make, I make many more friends. And I intend to continue to upset them. You aren't hearing why I am upset, because I am making an issue of fraud and misrepresentation in this research. Just what you probably think I do!

I will keep stirring the pot. I am getting stronger.

I asked you if you knew that McCloy was in Dallas. I did not ask you for your uninformed ridicule of a fact you evidently didn't know. I need to know more about these people. Did you know that Roy Cohn had a victory party the night JFK died?

I asked about Penn Jones and you told me. He has made mistakes like ***all*** of us. But he has been right about a lot of things.

As far as mysterious deaths go, it looks like some may be ion witness protection programs. But the fact is that there were a lot of deaths in the past, surrounding Lyndon Johnson and Billy Sol Estes. **Do you deny this?** On June 23 this years U.S. Marshall Clint Peoples was run off the road. He lived an hour, long enough to tell people what happened. I know people who knew him and he knew too much about JFK's death, and some other things. Harold, you are full of shit.

Now, for the sake of argument, I wanted to postulate with you that the conspiracy was based in Dallas, and used various elements to carry it out. I wanted your careful opinion without abuse. I may know more about this, but first I wanted to sound you out before telling you what I have so you can test it.

Simply because I ask simple questions doesn't mean that I know nothing. I often ask you things I have information on, but want to know what you know.

How can you say the Clark Panel Report disproves the Warren Report? Superficially that seems like the *wildest* statement ever made. I won't tell anyone. The Clark Panel found the entry in the head four inches from where it was in the autopsy report. How does that disprove the Warren Report? What it does is throw into question the autopsy pictures. There was no bone or scalp in that area. I know that beyond a shadow of a doubt, and when you sent me down to the NA, I found proof of it. Hopefully I will be able to get copies of that and prove it to the world. Harold, I was quoting one of *your* letters (to Paul Rothermel of Feb 17, 1969) about "Clark losing his cool." So you are saying that you are really ignorant. That is the problem. You constantly reverse yourself just to criticize people.

I certainly would like you to clarify your muddled statement that "the only reason that report was disclosed is that it was essential in preventing Garrison from having the right to use that evidence..." And how come you helped Garrison win the right to see the Clark report (if I understand you correctly)? How can he win the release of it in court and at the same time be denied the right to use it? Could we get this history right?

You have managed to get through almost thirty years without a clear statement on what you think happened. I'd like to get that from you now, before you die. I'd like to publish that in my next book.

Listen, Harold, they can say all they want about me, as they say about you, but I will survive, and I will get out my next book, God willing, and I will take this case a lot farther. I did not say I would break this case to that reporter, but I said that I will take us a lot closer, if I am lucky.

My last letter to you said that I saw no proof in the transcripts of Milteer that there was any real knowledge of a conspiracy on his part. I had bad fights with Groden on this. But I wanted to know what you thought because of your comments on Milteer. You misread me once again. We probably agree on this.

You just automatically assume that there is nothing conspiratorial about Mary Ferrell. Isn't it possible that people are used? Pointed at us? She tried to plant a lot of bad info on me, the way Fletch did in the end.

Yes, Richard E. Sprague said the same bad things about me in 1976 and made a lot of trouble for me, accusing me of being an agent. He walked off with the original of my press credentials and then told people that I did not represent that news organization. Fortunately I had a copy.

Concerning Z frame 210, I thought that was when the car emerges from the obstruction of the tree as seen from the sniper's window, and only at frame 210. I thought that it was sometime before, such as frame 187, when there was a hole in the leaves and branches. when I wrote, "beneath" that was not literally. Excuse me. Please do not heap abuse on me when it ought to be obvious what I am saying. Are you saying that the WC found that the shot was fired at frame 157, through the hole in the tree? I missed that.

With regard to *Farewell America*, could you just answer the question? Why do you say that the book is wrong? That came from Moynihan's study, and that of Intertell. Why would DeGaulle plant false information? He thought highly of JFK. How can you discount people that have some rubbing acquaintance with the power structure of this country when they say that certain embers of the establishment got rid of Kennedy, including the Texas oil men.

Harold, take this to heart. You are writing a book on how the media failed this country in this case. Aren't you doing so? Don't you think you owe it to us all to tell us what you think happened? Is it that you can't explain the evidence so you won't say what you think.

Now, your letter starts of by saying that a statement of fact of mine is "sick" I simply stated that McCloy was in Dallas the night Kennedy died. I suggested that there was something amiss with him, Nixon, Hoover, and others there. I have documented the party that they were all at.

What I get back from you is the implication that somebody down there is going to hurt me for getting too close to what you are trying to scare me off of. You tell me I am paranoid for asking why McCloy was there. I wanted to know if you knew anything about it. I did not need to be abused for doing so. You don't know so much that you are God and that I am automatically wrong for checking every trail. You avoid the question of whether you have any documentation for McCloy's presence by telling me I am sick and paranoid.

You say "those people lie without realizing they lie because what they say is what they want to be and then they believe it." What people? Mary Ferrell?

How do you know that Mary is not being used? I see her with Al Maddox, Jim Leavelle and others, not to speak of Tommy Cox, Gordon Novel, Mitch Werbell, her child marrying that of DeMohrenschildts, and many other connections. Yes, she will hurt me all

right. She already did it, and that is why I will look into her.

Why is it irrelevant where Ferrell worked? ***Not at all!***

Tell me, why did you automatically help Rothermel to protect Hunt? Why do you automatically assume that Hunt and his sons had nothing to do with it? This is not to say that I know that they did.

I am very aware of what a mine field I am walking through down there now. Thanks for the warning about Rothermel.

What in hell does the Clark Panel "really says and means." Sir, you have never spelled this out to me. You know, if you want to know why I am tied up in knots, and so many others are ignorant in this case, it is because **you** and some others go to such lengths to be obscure. Try shooting straight. Try just dealing straight out with the question. I need you ***now***. But you are just like my father, and it was the saddest thing in my life, because he failed me so often. He never took the time.

Your abusive comment that I am not really familiar with the "established basic facts of the assassination" is preposterous. Explain what those facts are, since your writing is so obscure, and why I am not familiar with it. Thank you. **(Harold, please don't get mad. Just deal with this like a rational human being, and work with me.)**

I need your honest help on the Texas conspiracy construct. Please see if you can get down to business with me. You spend much more time on side issues and denouncing me when you could have just as easily have helped me. This new book will be a blockbuster, and I want to get it right.

I guess you noticed what Dick Russell did not say about in the book that Gallen got published for him, on pages 519 and 708. Nobody exists with regard to the autopsy evidence for Russell accept Lifton.

Sir, please try to just guide me without the vituperation. I have a big investigation on, with a large team going to Dallas next week, including a *New York Times* editor on leave, Rick, and others.

Did you get a check from me?

God bless,

Harry